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ABSTRACT

Potassium was identified as a shortfall nutrient by the Dietary Guidelines for Americans 2010 Advisory Committee. The committee concluded that there was a moderate body of evidence of the association between potassium intake and blood pressure reduction in adults, which in turn influences the risk of stroke and coronary heart disease. Evidence is also accumulating of the protective effect of adequate dietary potassium on age-related bone loss and reduction of kidney stones. These benefits depend on organic anions associated with potassium as occurs in foods such as fruits and vegetables, in contrast to similar blood pressure-lowering benefits of potassium chloride. Benefits to blood pressure and bone health may occur at levels below current recommendations for potassium intake, especially from diet, but dose-response trials are needed to confirm this. Nevertheless, intakes considerably above current levels are needed for optimal health, and studies evaluating small increases in fruit and vegetable intake on bone and heart outcomes for short periods have had disappointing results. In modern societies, Western diets have led to a decrease in potassium intake with reduced consumption of fruits and vegetables with a concomitant increase in sodium consumption through increased consumption of processed foods. Consumption of white vegetables is associated with decreased risk of stroke, possibly related to their high potassium content. Potatoes are the highest source of dietary potassium, but the addition of salt should be limited. Low potassium-to-sodium intake ratios are more strongly related to cardiovascular disease risk than either nutrient alone. This relationship deserves further attention for multiple target tissue endpoints. Adv. Nutr. 4: 368S–377S, 2013.

Potassium is a shortfall nutrient

Potassium is 1 of the 4 major shortfall nutrients in the American diet according to the 2010 Dietary Guidelines for American's Advisory Committee (1). Potassium requirements increased substantially in 2004 when the adequate intake was established at 4700 mg/d (2). It is difficult for most Americans to achieve these levels of intake. Only 3% of Americans met the adequate intake in the 2003–2006 NHANES representative sample (3). The average potassium intake of Americans is just over half of the requirements at 2591 ± 19 mg/d.

Adequate dietary potassium is important for heart and bone health and reduces the risk of stroke and coronary heart disease. These relationships are the subject of this review. The effect of clinical hypokalemia on glucose intolerance, cardiac arrhythmias, and other consequences is not addressed here.

Potassium and heart health

The primary health outcome used to evaluate potassium intake by the 2010 Dietary Guidelines for Americans Advisory Committee was blood pressure; other cardiovascular risks including stroke and coronary heart disease were also considered (1). However, the evidence of beneficial effects of potassium intake on the prevention of stroke and coronary heart disease was insufficient to guide dietary recommendations. Moreover, the benefits of dietary potassium may be primarily through its effect on blood pressure. High dietary potassium is associated with a decrease in blood pressure, particularly in the context of a high-sodium diet.

Hypertension

Hypertension is a major risk factor for the development of stroke, coronary heart disease, heart failure, and end-stage renal disease with a financial burden in the United States of $50.6 billion in 2008 (4). Hypertension is the most common cause of death in the world (5) and is second only to
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smoking as a preventable cause of mortality in the United States. Approximately one third of U.S. adults had hypertension in the period 2005–2008 (4), with hypertension accounting for 1 in 6 deaths in U.S. adults in 2005. In fact, hypertension was the largest risk factor for cardiovascular mortality (45% deaths) followed by overweight/obesity, physical inactivity, high LDL cholesterol, smoking, high dietary salt, and high trans fatty acids (6). With adults older than 50 y of age having a 90% lifetime risk of becoming hypertensive (7), it is not surprising that the total direct plus indirect cost of cardiovascular disease and stroke in the United States for 2008 was $297.7 billion with a prevalence of $82.6 million for cardiovascular disease and $7 million for stroke (4).

A substantial body of evidence has documented that in adults, as blood pressure increases, so does the risk of cardiovascular disease, particularly coronary heart disease and stroke, which together are the leading causes of mortality in the United States (4). Importantly, the relationship between blood pressure and subsequent events is direct and progressive throughout the range of blood pressure including the nonhypertensive ranges of blood pressure. It is well recognized that small changes in blood pressure can have substantial effects on mortality. In adults, a 2-mm Hg reduction in blood pressure can reduce mortality due to stroke by 6% and coronary heart disease by 4% (8).

Hypertension is one of the most modifiable risk factors in the development of cardiovascular disease, stroke, and chronic kidney disease aside from sodium reduction. Recently, severity of periodontal disease was also correlated with increased systolic and diastolic blood pressure and inversely correlated with urinary potassium excretion, reflective of low potassium intakes, in African women (9). Achieving adequate dietary potassium may be the most important dietary constituent in the tool box to lower blood pressure. Roger et al. (4), on behalf of the American Heart Association, estimated that increasing potassium intake would decrease the incidence of hypertension in Americans by 17% and would increase life expectancy by 5.1 y. A dietary intake of >3500 mg/d is recommended for the primary prevention of hypertension (10).

The 2010 Dietary Guidelines for Americans Advisory Committee concluded that there was a moderate body of evidence of the association between potassium intake and blood pressure reduction in adults based on 36 clinical trials and 17 cohort studies reviewed by the 2005 Dietary Guidelines for Americans Advisory Committee (11) plus an additional 10 new studies (1). Five of these were systematic reviews and meta-analyses. Observational studies evaluated potassium from foods, whereas most trials used potassium supplements rather than food. However, the associated anion does not seem to affect blood pressure. The effect of potassium supplementation on blood pressure reduction is generally, but not consistently, positive. According to a Cochrane Database Systematic Review (12), potassium supplementation did not significantly reduce blood pressure in patients with hypertension. However, this meta-analysis only included 5 trials. In a meta-analysis of 19 supplement trials, potassium supplements significantly lowered systolic blood pressure by 5.9 mm Hg and diastolic blood pressure by 3.4 mm Hg (13). This effect size compares with 4 common monotherapy hypertensive drugs with effect sizes in the range of 6.9–9.3 mm Hg (14). In a later meta-analysis of 33 randomized, controlled trials involving 2609 participants with normal blood pressure, potassium supplementation was associated with a significant mean reduction of 3.11 mm Hg and 1.97 mm Hg for systolic and diastolic blood pressure, respectively (15). Similarly, a fourth meta-regression analysis reported that a potassium increase of 44 mmol/d resulted in a mean lowering of 2.4 mm Hg and 1.5 mm Hg for systolic and diastolic blood pressure, respectively (16). The blood pressure-lowering response of dietary potassium is greater in blacks than whites and in hypertensives than normotensives. Houston (17) graphed the results of the 4 meta analyses described to suggest a dose-response effect of dietary potassium (Fig. 1). Nevertheless, a dose-response study would contribute greatly to the evidence of a dietary intake recommendation.

Mechanisms of action
Potassium has several purported mechanisms of action for its antihypertensive effects. Potassium decreases intravascular volume, partly through decreased sodium reabsorption, i.e., increased urinary sodium excretion. This effect does not persist so it cannot explain the long-term effects on blood pressure. Increased plasma potassium levels reflecting dietary intakes are associated with endothelium-dependent vasodilation via stimulation of the sodium-potassium adenosine triphosphatase pump and opening of potassium channels of the sodium-potassium adenosine triphosphatase pump (17). Houston (17) lists a number of other putative mechanisms of action for potassium including alterations in baroreflex sensitivity and sensitivity to receptors and hormones that influence vascular smooth muscle and
sympathetic nervous system cell function. These effects may be important to lowering blood pressure in addition to the natriuretic effects of potassium.

**Potassium-sodium interaction**

The benefits of increased potassium and reduced sodium are incompletely additive, i.e., subadditive, meaning that individuals consuming high amounts of sodium may especially benefit from increasing potassium intakes. Also, the benefits of dietary potassium on blood pressure are strongest in salt-sensitive individuals (1). Thus, dietary potassium and sodium should be considered together for benefits to blood pressure reduction.

The potassium:sodium intake ratio has decreased from early to modern times and differs between isolated and modern societies. Potassium intakes have decreased from 150 to 290 mmol/d to 30 to 70 mmol/d (6000–11,600 to 1200–2800 mg/d), whereas sodium increased from 20 to 40 mmol/d to 80 to 250 mmol/d, leading to a shift in the dietary potassium:sodium ratio of >3 to <0.4 (17). Current recommendations for potassium (4700 mg/117.5 mmol) and sodium (2300 mg/100 mmol or 1500 mg/65 mmol) intakes lead to molar ratios of 1.18 or 1.8. Achieving this intake ratio is a challenge with current eating patterns (18, 19). Nevertheless, data suggest that moving toward this goal has health benefits, especially in blacks who develop a salt sensitivity when even marginally deficient in potassium.

Higher potassium:sodium intakes (determined via urinary excretion rates) have stronger effects on blood pressure and the risk of subsequent cardiovascular disease than either sodium or potassium alone (20). The relationship was verified for both sexes and blacks (21). Using self-reported dietary intake data, Yang et al. (22) found lower potassium to sodium intake ratios strongly associated with increased all-cause, cardiovascular, and ischemic heart disease (HRs of 1.46, 1.46, and 2.15, respectively).

There is a limited number of clinical trials that simultaneously reduce sodium and increase potassium intake while controlling for potentially confounding dietary factors. In a small trial of 45 mildly hypertensive Finnish adults, a salt substitute containing 50% sodium chloride, 25% potassium chloride, and 25% magnesium ammonium potassium chloride reduced (P < 0.002) systolic blood pressure over 8 wk compared with the control group (−7.5 vs. +3.8 mm Hg) (23).

In a study in Taiwanese veterans living in retirement homes, half of sodium chloride was replaced with potassium chloride in half of the kitchens (24). Cardiovascular disease-related mortality was significantly reduced (age-adjusted HR: 0.59; 95% CI: 0.37–0.95) over 31 mo and medical expenses decreased by $426 per year in the group receiving potassium salt.

**Potassium, stroke, and cardiovascular disease**

The dose-response relationship between dietary potassium and risk of stroke has been reported (25) (Fig. 2). A 12-y prospective study showed that for every 10-mmol increase in potassium intake per day, there was a 40% reduction in stroke-associated mortality (26). Supportive of this finding was the observation that higher urinary potassium excretion in 28,880 patients with cardiovascular disease or diabetes was associated with reduced risk of stroke (27). A meta-analysis of 11 prospective studies of potassium intake, stroke, and cardiovascular disease involving ~250,000 individuals showed the strongest association with reduction of risk of stroke by 21% for every 1.64-g/d (423-mmol/d) increase in potassium intake and a trend toward a lower risk of cardiovascular disease (28).

Much of the ability of dietary potassium to lower the risk of stroke is through its effect on lowering blood pressure (29). However, the effect persisted even when baseline blood pressure was accounted for, suggesting that additional mechanisms are at play (30). For example, potassium diets reduce free radical formation, but other bioactive constituents in fruits and vegetables likely have stronger antioxidant effects than potassium. Without changing blood pressure, 64 mmol of potassium, whether as the chloride or bicarbonate salt, improved endothelial function as measured by brachial artery flow-mediated dilation, increased arterial compliance as assessed by carotid-femoral pulse wave velocity, and reduced left ventricular mass and improved its function in 42 adults (31). These changes are predictive of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality. A higher urinary sodium:potassium ratio was associated with greater left ventricular mass in the CARDIA (Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults) study independent of blood pressure (32). Additionally, a 4.5-g/d potassium chloride supplement ameliorated sodium-induced hemostatic abnormalities linked to the risk of cardiovascular disease and stroke in rural Chinese (33).

The consumption of white fruits and vegetables has been evaluated in relation to stroke outcomes. In a large 10-y prospective Dutch population study including 20,069 men and women 20 to 65 y of age, each 25 g/d increase in consumption of white fruits and vegetables was associated with a 9% lower risk of stroke (HR: 0.91; 95% CI: 0.85, 0.97) (34). This association was stronger than for total consumption of fruits and vegetables, and other categories of fruits and vegetables were not related to the incidence of stroke. This study adds a
refinement to previous meta-analyses showing the benefit of fruit and vegetable consumption in reducing the risk of stroke (35,36). The authors did not include potatoes in the white vegetable category; their inclusion may have led to even a stronger relationship.

**Potassium and bone health**

Dietary potassium may also benefit bone. The relationship of potassium and bone health has been studied through observational studies, controlled feeding studies, and randomized, controlled trials. The evidence of a benefit of potassium to bone is mixed, which may be due to methodological issues in studies such as the dose used, chemical form of potassium used, and other research methods. These issues are discussed here.

**Osteoporosis**

Osteoporosis is characterized by loss of bone mass with advanced age after peak bone mass and strength are established leading to skeletal fragility and fracture. More than 9 million fractures occur annually worldwide with an estimated cost of $100 billion (37).

Fragility fractures occur in individuals with low bone strength who experience an impact that exceeds bone strength such as with a fall. Bone strength is determined by bone mineral density (BMD)$^a$ as well as bone size and bone material properties. Bone is a living tissue that is constantly remodeling; bone is being resorbed, which releases mineral salts through action of osteoclasts, and bone is being formed to fill in the resorbed pits through the action of osteoblasts. Bone formation rates exceed bone resorption rates during growth, but ideally these 2 processes are in balance once peak bone mass is achieved in early adulthood. However, estrogen deficiency associated with menopause and aging results in accelerated bone resorption, which triggers increased bone formation rates as well, but often not sufficiently to maintain bone. When bone resorption rates exceed bone formation rates, bone balance is negative and net bone loss occurs. The rate of bone turnover depends not only on estrogen status but also on parathyroid hormone (PTH) levels, which increase in response to low dietary calcium to maintain serum calcium levels in the normal range. The extent to which this is necessary depends on dietary calcium levels. Bone turnover may also increase in response to acid. This also depends on diet (i.e., whether the diet is acidogenic). These dietary influences explain in part why as much as 40% of bone mass is determined by lifestyle choices, whereas genetics explain 60–80% (38).

Studies on the effects of dietary potassium on bone strength and bone health have measured calcium homeostasis and retention, biochemical markers of bone turnover, or BMD.

**Mechanisms for potassium benefits to bone**

The most promoted hypothesis for a bone benefit of dietary potassium is through its effect on acid-base balance, although the role of the skeleton in regulating pH is debated (39,40). Homeostatic mechanisms keep systemic pH tightly controlled at between 7.35 and 7.45.

Those who support the acid-base theory postulate that the Western diet that is high in meats and cereal grains and low in fruits and vegetables creates a low-grade metabolic acidosis (39). Western diets lead to the production of 75 to 100 mEq acid daily (39). This systemic state of low-grade metabolic acidosis progressively worsens with age as renal function declines. Buffering of this acidic pH by the alkaline calcium salts in the skeleton would lead to bone loss. Accordingly, alkaline potassium salts produced from metabolizing fruits and vegetables or potassium supplements (potassium bicarbonate or citrate, but not potassium chloride) are thought to protect against bone resorption for pH homeostasis.

The following 2 mechanisms for the impact of acid excess on the skeleton have been proposed: pH buffered through skeleton acting as an ion-exchange column (39) and cell-based mechanism (i.e., osteoclasts, which resorb bone) (40). The first mechanism would occur rapidly and would not require cellular involvement.

Barzel (39) proposed that the hydration shell on the surface of bone has the capacity to release large amounts of sodium, carbonate, and citrate buffer to neutralize acid. The second mechanism would require a longer term response. Some (41) argue that the second mechanism is the only mechanism of bone resorption because bone mineral is not in direct contact with systemic circulation. Direct dissolution of bone mineral due to systemic acidosis is not necessary for bone resorption because extracellular H$^+$ can stimulate osteoclasts directly through interaction with a protein receptor (42), and this is in fact required for the initiation of osteoclast activity (40). The OGR7 receptor on osteoblasts sense acid levels, which induces intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ release to mediate receptor activator of nuclear factor-κB ligand expression and therefore bone resorption (43).

Those who question the role of the skeleton to buffer excess acid mechanism argue that kidneys have the capacity to eliminate excess extracellular hydrogen ions generated by cellular metabolism (41). This begs the question that if dietary potassium does protect against bone loss, how would it do so if not through the acid-base mechanism? Is dietary potassium beneficial to bone only when renal function or the ability to generate ammonium is impaired? To answer this question, it helps to examine the strength of the evidence of a benefit of dietary potassium to bone.

**Results from observational studies of potassium and bone health**

High intake of fruits and vegetables have been associated with a benefit to bone health (44–46). Potassium levels in fruits and vegetables have been a leading candidate for this

---

$^a$Abbreviations used: BMD, bone mineral density; DASH, Dietary Approaches to Stop Hypertension; NRAE, net renal acid excretion; PTH, parathyroid hormone.
benefit. However, in a large Scottish study, flavonoid intake was more strongly related to bone than fruit and vegetable intake in general (47). Flavonoids are polyphenolic compounds, some of which have specific effects on osteoblasts (bone-forming cells) or osteoclasts (bone-resorbing cells) that reduce age-related bone loss (48). Observational studies have limited ability to distinguish the effect of potassium on bone in the context of many other constituents that may influence bone such as flavonoids.

**Potassium and the calcium economy**

Potassium intake has been associated with reduced urinary calcium excretion whether given as citrate or bicarbonate salts (49–51). Hypocalciuria persisted over 3 y in men and women given 30, 50, or 90 mmol/d potassium bicarbonate (52). That potassium bicarbonate, but not sodium bicarbonate, reduced urinary calcium excretion in healthy men (50), and that potassium citrate, but not sodium citrate, reduced urinary calcium excretion in men with uric acid nephrolithiasis (53), suggest that potassium has a role beyond its alkalinization effect. The positive effect of potassium could be through either suppressing calcium resorption or bone mineral dissolution or both.

Although it had been assumed that the hypocalciuric effects of dietary potassium would result in improved calcium retention and, therefore, bone balance, results have varied. In a highly cited metabolic balance study, potassium supplementation did improve calcium balance (49). Postmenopausal women received 60 to 120 mmol/d potassium bicarbonate for 18 d. Net renal acid excretion (NRAE) decreased from 70.9 ± 10.1 to 12.8 ± 21.9 mmol/d and calcium balance increased by 56 ± 76 mg/d/60 kg, \(P = 0.009\). In contrast, dietary potassium was not related to calcium balance in 191 women studied prospectively at 5-y intervals for 1 to 5 times (54). In this study, the investigators attempted to match self-selected diets of the participants during the 8-d balance studies. The 5th and 95th% CI for potassium intake was 45.3 and 85.5 mmol/d, respectively, and for renal NRAE, 29.8 and 65.5 mmol/d, respectively. Because dietary potassium was not an intervention, intakes were lower and renal NRAE was much higher than in the Sebastian et al. (49) study, which used potassium bicarbonate supplementation. In the prospective balance study on habitual intakes (54), a negative relationship between dietary potassium and fractional calcium absorption was observed. The authors proposed that the hypocalciuric effect of dietary potassium was offset by reduced intestinal absorption. Because potassium reduces phosphate retention by the kidneys, renal calcitriol synthesis may be inhibited (55), which could explain reduced calcium absorption over time. Thus, within the range of habitual intakes of the study population, none of whom achieved their recommended intake of 4700 mg/d (median <2500 mg/d), dietary potassium had little apparent effect on calcium retention. More potassium came from meat and milk that are not metabolized to alkaline salts than from fruits and vegetables in the diets of the study participants. Thus, the benefit of potassium intake on bone was uncertain.

A recent randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial shed new light on the discrepant findings of potassium intake and calcium retention (56). Six months of potassium citrate supplementation at 0, 60, or 90 mmol/d in 52 men and women showed that 90 mmol/d was required to significantly improve calcium balance (Fig. 3). NRAE was reduced by nearly 30 mmol/d and urinary calcium by 9 mg/d compared with placebo with no change in fractional calcium absorption.

Taken altogether, these studies suggest that organic salts of potassium (such as occurs in fruits and vegetables) in doses sufficient to effectively neutralize NRAE can improve calcium balance. However, the mechanism remains unclear because the benefit of potassium salts may be independent of changes in NRAE.

**Bone turnover**

Several potassium supplementation trials have measured biochemical markers of bone turnover to investigate how potassium influences bone beyond its effect on calcium balance. Potassium bicarbonate at 60 to 120 mmol/d decreased urinary excretion of hydroxyproline, a marker of bone resorption, by 10% in postmenopausal women (49). Serum osteocalcin, a marker of bone formation, increased in the same study. Other studies have also shown that other biochemical markers of bone resorption, including C- and N-telopeptide and procollagen type I N-terminal propeptide, decrease with potassium alkali treatment (51,57). Similarly, serum C-telopeptide of type I collagen, a marker of bone resorption, decreased during 6 mo of treatment with both 60 and 90 mmol/d of potassium citrate, but, in contrast to

![Figure 3](http://advances.nutrition.org) Potassium intake and adjusted risk of stroke among 43,738 U.S. men aged 40–75 y followed for 8 y. Risk was adjusted for age, total energy intake, smoking, alcohol consumption, history of hypertension, history of hypercholesterolemia, parental history of myocardial infarction before age 65 y, profession, and quintiles of BMI, and physical activity. Reproduced from reference 25 with permission.
serum bone-specific alkaline phosphatase, a marker of bone formation, did not increase (56).

Several lines of evidence challenge that acid-base balance is involved in bone turnover or the benefit of potassium in reducing bone turnover. Bisphosphonates are potent inhibitors of bone turnover. Yet, bisphosphonate treatment does not impair buffering of acids in those with healthy kidneys (58). This would suggest that the influence of acid-base balance is not essential to reducing bone resorption, nor is the alkaline load of fruits and vegetables essential to reducing bone resorption. In a rat model that measured bone turnover with high precision by monitoring urinary excretion tracer from prelabeled bone, addition of potassium citrate at levels that neutralized urinary titratable acid excretion from the acidogenic casein diet had no effect on bone turnover (Fig. 4) (59), nor did addition of potassium citrate affect the ability of onion or mixed vegetables to lower bone turnover. The authors suggested that bioactive compounds in the fruits and vegetables, including organic acids that could create more acidic ash, are responsible for benefits to bone.

The ability of flavonoids as the purported bioactive compounds in fruits and vegetables to reduce bone resorption rates and improve bone formation rates have been recently reviewed (48). However, it is not flavonoids that explain the benefit of potassium salts to bone health. Another observation inconsistent with acid loading causing increased urinary calcium is that adding potassium citrate to neutralize the acid load of a high protein diet did not reduce the hypercalcuria induced by the protein in healthy adults (60). In contrast, 50 or 70 mmol potassium bicarbonate did reduce 3-h urinary calcium excretion acutely induced by moderate protein diets in adults in another study (61).

A plausible alternative hypothesis to the acid-base theory is that dietary organic salts of potassium lower circulating PTH levels. This would result in a reduction in urinary calcium excretion, thereby increasing calcium balance. In the Moseley et al. (55) study, 90 mmol/d of potassium citrate decreased PTH significantly by ~8 pg/mL. However, this observation is not a consistent finding. Lower levels of 40 mmol potassium citrate decreased urinary calcium with no changes in serum PTH, fractional calcium absorption, or bone resorption markers compared with a placebo in 18 postmenopausal women in a crossover study (62), nor were there differences in serum PTH with potassium bicarbonate supplementation compared with placebo (48–50).

The relationship of dietary potassium and bone is not clear from the biochemical marker data in the literature. Although the rate of bone turnover is 1 determinant of fracture risk, measures of bone turnover rates are generally used to gain insights on mechanisms. They are not as strong as imaging for assessing the impact of diet on bone density and strength.

Potassium and BMD

There are 3 trials of potassium and BMD. In the first trial, potassium citrate was compared with potassium chloride supplementation (30 mEq/d) for 1 y in 161 postmenopausal women with low bone mass (63). A subset of women was measured for NRAE (mmol/24 h) at 6 ± 9 and 35 ± 8 for the potassium citrate and potassium chloride groups, respectively. Potassium citrate was significantly more effective than potassium chloride in protecting against loss of BMD at the spine, femoral neck, and hip. Benefits (% change in BMD) of potassium citrate over time compared favorably with the osteoporosis treatment drug raloxifene (1.7% for raloxifene vs. 1.9% for potassium citrate at the lumbar spine), although it was less than for bisphosphonates (3.9% for ibandronate) (64,65). However, the lack of placebo in this study precludes knowing whether potassium citrate would provide benefit over no treatment. The authors assumed that bone loss in the women in the potassium citrate group was at a rate similar to those with no treatment, but if the women were not experiencing bone loss, the obvious interpretation would be that potassium chloride is detrimental to bone rather than potassium citrate is beneficial.

The second randomized, controlled trial compared potassium citrate (35.5 mEq/d or 18.5 mEq/d) and 300 g additional fruits and vegetables (18.5 mEq alkali/d) with a placebo group over 2 y in 276 postmenopausal women (66). The diet intervention was designed to have the same load as the lower potassium citrate group, but the types of fruits and vegetables were not controlled. The interventions had no benefit to BMD.

The third and strongest trial showed that 2 y of 60 mmol/d (2400 mg/d) potassium as potassium citrate improved BMD and bone microarchitecture in 201 elderly men and women (67). Spine BMD was increased (P < 0.001) by 1.7 ± 1.5% over the placebo, which correlated with changes in NRAE, and 1.6% at femoral neck. Tibial trabecular BMD increased (P < 0.001) by 1.3 ± 1.3%.

In summary, benefits of potassium on bone are seen typically when given as organic salts at relatively high doses of 60 to 90 mmol/d (2400–3600 mg/d). Organic salts of
potassium reduce urinary calcium loss and improve calcium balance at these levels. But whether that is related to protection against skeletal buffering of an acidogenic diet is still a topic for debate. Perhaps acid-base balance is not the mechanism in healthy kidneys, but as kidney function declined with age, it may be an important mechanism. The glomerular filtration rate declines by as much as 50% from age 20 to 80 y (68), or perhaps excess acid only affects bone through interaction with receptors on bone cells to stimulate bone turnover, and this process is reduced by the alkaline organic salts of potassium.

**Potassium and the kidney**

The kidney regulates potassium homeostasis. Urinary excretion of excess potassium can reach 200 mmol/d unless >90% of renal function is lost (68). Thus, a healthy kidney has great capacity to maintain potassium homeostasis in the face of excess potassium.

The renal hypercalciuria resulting from metabolic acidosis associated with consuming Western diets due to impairment of calcium reabsorption at the distal tubule increases the risk of kidney stones (69). Two observational U.S. studies showed that high intakes of potassium are associated with a reduction in recurrent kidney stones (70,71) and 1 study in Finland showed no relationship (72). One U.S. study was a large 4-y prospective study of 45,619 adult men (69) and another a 12-y prospective study in women (70). Other studies have shown that supplementation with potassium citrate salts decreases stone recurrence (73–75). However, Maalouf et al. (60) concluded that the benefit of potassium citrate is not through the acid-base mechanism, but through correction of decreases in urine pH and citrate. In their crossover study of 11 healthy adults on controlled diets, potassium citrate protected against increased saturation of urine, with respect to calcium oxalate and urine acid, due to high protein diets. Lower urinary citrate and pH results in increased calcium oxalate saturation. Urinary citrate is a key inhibitor of nephrolithiasis, presumably by binding Ca²⁺ so that calcium oxalate formation is reduced. Both potassium citrate and bicarbonate, but not potassium chloride, increase urinary citrate (63). A higher pH favors formation of more soluble calcium salts over calcium oxalate.

Dietary potassium may slow progression of kidney disease. In hypertensive rats, high potassium intake prevents renal vascular, glomerular, and tubular damage independent of its effect on blood pressure (76). In a rat model of chronic kidney disease, potassium supplementation suppressed renal inflammation through upregulation of renal Smad 7 and downregulation of transforming growth factor β, both involved in the pathogenesis of progressive kidney injury (77). No controlled trials have been published that determine the role of dietary potassium and renal health in humans with hypertension or kidney disease.

**Dietary sources of potassium**

Potassium comes largely from fruits and vegetables (Table 1). The potato is the highest source of potassium of all foods. However, because of consumption patterns, the top potassium sources for Americans are milk, coffee, chicken and beef dishes, orange and grapefruit juice, and potatoes (Table 2). Potassium in foods is present with phosphate, sulfate, citrate, and many organic anions including proteins. Potassium intake decreased with the agricultural revolution when energy intake shifted from a variety of plants including potassium-rich tubers to cereals and animal products that have lower potassium concentrations and then further decreased with a shift to highly refined processed foods (69). Promoting nutrient-rich foods to effect behavior modification is needed to increase potassium intake as well as other shortfall nutrients (78).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food</th>
<th>Standard portion size</th>
<th>Energy in standard portion</th>
<th>Potassium in standard portion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potato, baked, flesh and skin</td>
<td>1 small potato (143)</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prune juice, canned</td>
<td>1 cup (256)</td>
<td>182</td>
<td>707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrot juice, canned</td>
<td>1 cup (236)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato paste</td>
<td>1/4 cup (65.5)</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beet greens, cooked</td>
<td>1/2 cup (74.5)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>654</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White beans, canned</td>
<td>1/2 cup (90)</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato juice, canned</td>
<td>1 cup (243)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plain yogurt, nonfat or low fat</td>
<td>8 oz (245)</td>
<td>127–143</td>
<td>531–579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomato puree</td>
<td>1/2 cup (125)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>549</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweet potato, baked in skin</td>
<td>1 medium (119)</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange juice, fresh</td>
<td>1 cup (248)</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tuna, yellowfin, cooked</td>
<td>3 oz (57)</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bananas</td>
<td>1 medium (118)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skim milk</td>
<td>1 cup (245)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parsnip, boiled</td>
<td>1/2 cup (80.5)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Green peas, boiled</td>
<td>1/2 cup (82.5)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn, boiled, sweet yellow</td>
<td>1/2 cup (79)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cauliflower, boiled</td>
<td>1/2 cup (70)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Adapted from reference 1 with permission.
The DASH diet is high in fruits and vegetables and dairy products and is effective at reducing blood pressure (79). Potassium content is thought to be the largest explanation of the benefit of this dietary pattern, although the increased level of magnesium, calcium, fiber, and other bioactive constituents may also contribute to lowering blood pressure. The DASH diet reduced systolic and diastolic blood pressure in normotensives by 3.5 and 2.1 mm Hg, respectively, and 11.4 and 5.5 mm Hg, respectively, in hypertensives. Benefits were more pronounced in blacks and further benefits occurred with sodium reduction (80). Patients with stage 1 hypertension also experienced improved blood pressure control on a DASH diet plus sodium reduction (81). In addition to reducing blood pressure, a DASH diet intervention lowered biochemical markers of bone turnover (82) and DASH diet scores were associated with reduced risk of kidney stones, partly mediated by increased urinary citrate and volume (83).

Additionally, in 9585 Japanese hypertensive patients, potassium intake from fruits was associated with decreased systolic and diastolic blood pressure (84). In women, the lowest quartile of potassium intake was associated with a higher prevalence of metabolic syndrome as well. Dietary advice interventions aimed at increasing potassium intake by ~20 mmol/d through fruits and vegetable intakes were successful in improving blood pressure in free-living individuals in the United States (85), but not in the United Kingdom (86). Differences in response in the 2 populations may be related to differences in baseline potassium intake, racial composition, or other subject characteristics.

**Conclusions**

Potassium is a shortfall nutrient that is not typically found in fortified foods or commonly consumed as dietary supplements. Fruits and vegetables, especially the potato, are excellent sources of potassium and play important roles in protecting against hypertension and, perhaps, in improving bone health. The organic potassium salts in foods have a broad range of health benefits to the heart, kidney, bone, and other tissues. Potassium chloride supplementation seemingly benefits blood pressure, but not bone. Clinical trials that are sufficiently large and of sufficiently long duration are needed for most of the health benefits attributed to potassium intake to establish causal relationships and dose response to guide public health decisions. Understanding the role of the skeleton in responding to metabolically produced acid excess and the hypocalciuric effects of potassium organic salts requires mechanistic studies. In patient populations, trials are needed to determine the effect of potassium intake on hypertensive renal disease. The inability to accurately assess dietary intakes makes it impossible to refine potassium recommendations from observational studies. Future trials may show that potassium benefits occur at intakes below the current recommendations of 4700 mg/d. Evidence from trials on blood pressure suggests 3600–3800 mg/d may be reasonable for heart and bone health. This is still 1000 mg higher than the current mean consumption of potassium. Improving the potassium:sodium intake ratio has a stronger advantage to heart health than either dietary constituent in isolation. This may be true for bone health also because these minerals have opposing actions on calcium excretion. Still, public health messages to improve diet quality generally has potential for more far-reaching impacts than encouraging single nutrients in isolation.

**Acknowledgments**

The sole author had responsibility for all parts of the manuscript.

**Literature Cited**


